

Twinning Programme 2017/18 – call for municipalities Selection and matchmaking criteria

Selection Criteria:

The evaluations of application will be based on the following criteria, which will be ranked through a scale of 0 to 3 (Annex 1). These criteria will include:

For all participants:

- Overall quality of the application
- Being a member of or committed to joining the Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy, with a priority for applicants that did not participate in previous twinning programmes
- Evidence that the twinning exchange will help applicants achieve their energy and climate goals for 2020 or 2030 (e.g. clear proposed themes and objectives for the exchange; well-identified outcomes and added value)
- Evidence that the applicants can dedicate appropriated resources and staff to the twinning exchange

For Peers and learners:

- Willingness to involve relevant stakeholders when hosting an exchange

For Mentors

- Level of advancement in adaptation and mitigation measures
- Level of ambition of their climate and energy plans/strategy

Matchmaking Criteria

- Socio-economic and institutional context (e.g. population size, resources)
- Nature of climate hazards faced
- Preference in participating in a mentoring or peer-to-peer exchange
- Stage of mitigation and adaptation work (e.g. implementation, design phase)
- Interests in sectors/areas of intervention
- Preferred time slot to organise twinning visit

Annex 1: Evaluation

For all participants	Points	Scale
Overall quality of the application	0	Weak
	1	Average
	2	Good
	3	Very good
Participation in previous twinning programme under the Mayors Adapt initiative	0	Participated in the twinning programme of Mayors Adapt
	1	Did not participate in the twinning programme of Mayors Adapt
Evidence that the twinning exchange will help applicants achieve their energy and climate goals (e.g. clear proposed themes and objectives for the exchange; well identified outcomes and added value) Ex: 1. The twinning will help my city to implement project X, for which I need Y and Z 2. The twinning will help my city to become leader on the X topic	0	Weak evidence that the twinning will bring added value to applicant's objectives
	1	Some evidence that the twinning could have an impact but not determinant for the applicants
	2	Clear evidence that the twinning will contribute to the achievements of the strategy/plan's objectives
	3	Evidence that the twinning could play a pivotal role in achieving plan's objectives
Evidence that the applicants can dedicate appropriate resources and staff to the twinning exchange (e.g. international profile, language skills, technical expertise)	0	No evidence
	1	Limited evidence
	2	Clear evidence
	3	Strong evidence
For peers and learners	Points	Scale
Involvement of relevant stakeholders when hosting an exchange (clear identification of stakeholders and clear plans to involve them)	0	No evidence of involvement
	1	Limited evidence of involvement
	2	Clear evidence of involvement
	3	Strong evidence of involvement
For mentors	Points	Scale
Stage of advancement in adaptation and mitigation measures	0	Not implemented yet
	1	Early implementation stage

	2	Advanced implementation stage
	3	Final implementation stage or already implemented
Evidence of ambitious mitigation plans/strategies (e.g. CO2 reduction objectives, mitigation measures implemented and/or planned)	0	No evidence
	1	Limited evidence
	2	Clear evidence
	3	Strong evidence
Evidence of ambitious adaptation plans/strategies (e.g. adaptation measures implemented and/or planned; integrated approach of adaptation)	0	No evidence
	1	Limited evidence
	2	Clear evidence
	3	Strong evidence