

Twinning Programme 2017/18 for provinces and regions Selection and matchmaking criteria

Selection Criteria:

The evaluation of application will be based on the following criteria, which will be ranked through a scale of 0 to 3 (Annex 1). These criteria will include:

- Overall quality of the application
- Being a Covenant of Mayors coordinator
- Applicants that have many signatories in need of support and facing challenges in their SE(C)AP development/implementation
- Evidence that the exchange will help applicants achieve their energy and climate goals for 2020 or 2030 (e.g. clearly defined themes, areas of intervention and objectives for the exchange; well-defined outcomes; and measurable indicators for quantifying impact)
- Evidence that the applicants can dedicate appropriated resources and staff to the twinning exchange
- Willingness to involve relevant stakeholders when hosting an exchange
- Level of advancement in adaptation and mitigation measures
- Level of ambition of their climate and energy plans/strategy

Matchmaking Criteria

- Socio-economic and institutional context (e.g. population size, resources)
- Territorial similarities and the nature of climate hazards faced
- Preference in hosting or visiting
- Stage of mitigation and adaptation work (e.g. implementation, design phase)
- Interests in sectors/areas of intervention

Annex 1: Evaluation

For all participants	Points	Scale
Overall quality of the application	0	Weak
	1	Average
	2	Good
	3	Very good
Being a Covenant of Mayors coordinator	0	Not a Covenant of Mayors coordinator
	1	Covenant of Mayors coordinator
Evidence that the twinning exchange will help applicants achieve their energy and climate goals (e.g. clear proposed themes and objectives for the exchange; well identified outcomes and added value)	0	Weak evidence that the exchange will bring added value to applicant's objectives
	1	Some evidence that the exchange could have an impact but not determinant for the applicants
	2	Clear evidence that the exchange will contribute to the achievements of the strategy/plan's objectives
	3	Evidence that the exchange could play a pivotal role in achieving plan's objectives
Evidence that the applicants can dedicate appropriated resources and staff to the twinning exchange (e.g. international profile, language skills, technical expertise)	0	No evidence
	1	Limited evidence
	2	Clear evidence
	3	Strong Evidence
Involvement of relevant stakeholders when hosting an exchange (clear identification of stakeholders and clear plans to involve them)	0	No evidence of involvement
	1	Limited evidence of involvement
	2	Clear interest in involving stakeholders
	3	Strong evidence of involvement
Evidence of ambitious mitigation plans/strategies (e.g. CO2 reduction objectives, mitigation measures implemented and/or planned)	0	No evidence
	1	Limited evidence
	2	Clear evidence
	3	Strong evidence
Stage of advancement of the supported signatories	0	Signatories on track with their CoM commitments and/or not needing support from the CTC
	1	Signatories needing little support / or in their monitoring phase
	2	Signatories not on track with their CoM commitments and/or needing a lot of support from the CTC
	3	Signatories needing support for their SECAP development